COURT NO. 1 ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

85.

OA 128/2025 with MA 1959/2025 & 287/2025

Maj Sachin Singh Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Ors. Respondents

For Applicant: Mr. Anand Kumar, Advocate

For Respondents : Mr. Neeraj, Sr CGSC with Mr. Rudra,

Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON HON'BLE LT. GEN. C. P. MOHANTY, MEMBER (A)

ORDER 09.05.2025

Issue notice to the respondents on MA 1959/2025. By this application the applicant seeks stay of the punishment order issued to him of severe displeasure after a CoI. It is now the grievance of the applicant that the punishment of severe displeasure was imposed upon him after a CoI conducted in which the provision of Rule 180 was not complied with and therefore the entire punishment stands vitiated and accordingly the prayer is that operation of the punishment of severe displeasure should be stayed during the pendency of the OA and the case of the applicant for further promotion in the SB-5 Board to be held in May/June should be conducted without taking note of the severe displeasure. That apart, we find that the severe displeasure was awarded to the applicant on 12.01.2023 and therefore the effect of that punishment based on the new policy of 2024 should also be examined. The respondents to show cause as

to why the interim prayer sought for should not be granted on account of these two reasons.

List the matter again on 23.05.2025.

A copy of this order be provided DASTI to learned counsel for both the parties.

[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON] CHAIRPERSON

[LT. GEN. C. P. MOHANTY] MEMBER (A)

/JYOTI/